UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/668,882	09/23/2003	Vladimir Feingold	03-114 3596		
7590 01/12/2007 Lawrence S. Cohen Suite 1220 10960 Wilshire Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90024			EXAMINER BACHMAN, LINDSEY MICHELE		
			200 1 gerou, c		
		•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 DAYS		01/12/2007	PAPER		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No	D.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/668,882		FEINGOLD ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit	-			
		Lindsey Bachm	ian	3734				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICENCE IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period by the Office later than three months after the mailine departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS C 136(a). In no event, ho will apply and will expirate, cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be tim re SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed  he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 S	September 2003.						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)								
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	1		•				
4)⊠	• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)								
6)								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠								
Applicati	on Papers		·					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 3	85 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	•							
A440.ch				•				
Attachmen  1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4√ ا	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	· ,			
2) Notic	te							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-9, drawn to a device for performing corneal resectioning, classified in class 606, subclass 166.
- II. Claims 10-17, drawn to a method for performing corneal resectioning, classified in class 606, subclass 107.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the device can be used to perform a materially different method, such as any other method in which only a thin layer of material needs to be removed, as in a skin irregularity.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,882

Art Unit: 3734

A telephone call was made to Lawrence Cohen on 20 December 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lindsey Bachman whose telephone number is 571-272-6208. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7:30 am to 5 pm, and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on 571-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

lb

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER